



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,473		03/01/2004	Mark R. Bunker	SBCK 0101 PUS (SW 1001)	9933	
22045	7590	09/12/2005		EXAMINER		
	S KUSHI WN CENT	MAN P.C.	DEANE JR, WILLIAM J			
		D FLOOR	, ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SOUTHF	TELD, MI	48075	2642			
				DATE MAIL ED. 00/12/200	DATE MAIL ED: 00/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summan	10/790,47		BUNKER, MARK	R.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		William J.		2642					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL IS IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL IS IS IN COMMENTED IN THE MAIL IS IN THE MAIL IN THE MAIL IS IN THE MAIL IN THE MAIL IS IN THE MAIL IN THE MAI	LING DATE OF TH 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever cation. bry period will apply and wi by statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>01 March 2004</i>							
·		☐ This action is n	on-final						
,—									
٥,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	·	ondo an parto do	ay, 0, 7000 C.D. 11, 10	0.0.210.					
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the app	lication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election r	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.							
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority do	cuments have bee cuments have bee the priority docume I Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachmen	• •								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	-948\	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔯 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1 page</u> .		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152) ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/790,473

Art Unit: 2642

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0167946 (Gallant).

With respect to claims 1, 12 and Gallant teaches a system for restricting telephone calls from a facility having a facility phone (see paragraph 0011), a switching office (Fig. 1), a destination phone (Fig. 1), note that the call is disabled (Abstract Figs. 3A and 3B), note that the call is disabled based on the facility (paragraph 0058, 0089 – 0092, 0096 – 0097, 0108 and Figs. 6 – 8. Though not explicitly saying serial, such is believed to be inherent. If not, such would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as connecting devices in serial or parallel is old and well-known in the art.

With respect to claims 2, 13 and though three-way calling is not mentioned, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have included three-way calling as such would only entail including or substituting one well-known feature for another.

With respect to claims 3 and 14, note Abstract.

With respect to claims 4 and 15, such is obvious in view of the above.

With respect to claims 5 - 6, 16 and 17, note paragraphs 0027 - 0028.

Art Unit: 2642

With respect to claims 7 - 9, 18 - 20, in light of Gallant, it would have been obvious to use 1 or 2 control signal depending on where the proxy server and or location server is placed. With respect to a processor, note Fig. 9

With respect to claims 10 and 21, note Fig. 6

With respect to claims 11 and 22, note paragraph 0011.

With respect to claims 23 – 24, such is obvious in view of the above and a fair reading of Gallant.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure (see the Abstracts and Figs. of the Patents and Patent Applications cited on the enclosed 892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (571) 273 - 8300.

WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER